

Court of Appeals, Division One

Civil Appeal

1 CA-CV 26-0519

FUSION, et al. v. WARD, et al.

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 9-Jun-2026

Case Closed:

Dept/Composition

Side 1. FUSION POWER LLC, et al., Plaintiff/Appellee

(Litigant Group) FUSION POWER LLC, et al.

- Fusion Power LLC
- Vector Energy

Attorneys for: Plaintiffs/Appellees

Aaron Thomas Martin, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 28358)

Catie B Kelley, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 37066)

Side 2. LUKE WARD, et al., Defendant/Appellant

(Litigant Group) LUKE WARD, et al.

- Luke Ward
- Nadia Ward
- Assurance Investments, LLC

Attorneys for: Defendant/Appellant

Ryan Daniel Bailey, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 36684)

CASE STATUS

Jul 1, 2026.....Stayed (until 8/3/26)

PREDECESSOR CASE (S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
MAR CV2025-002336	Default Judgment		Dewain D Fox, Authoring Judge of Order		

OPEN DUE DATE

Court Ordered Document Due

Due By:

Monday, August 3, 2026

Re: * ORDERED: Staying this appeal until 08/03/2026. FURTHER ORDERED: Revesting jurisdiction in the Maricopa County Superior Court and authorizing that court to consider the pending motion. This court does not express an opinion regarding the merits of that m

5 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

- 9-Jun-2026 FILED: Index of Record, Notice of Appeal from Maricopa County Superior Court filed 05/11/26
- 9-Jun-2026 FILED: e-Record on Appeal:
Combined Instruments/Minute Entries
Exhibits: None
- 11-Jun-2026 FILED: Appellate Clerk Notice re: Appellant's Fee/Case Management Statement/Brief Due
- 16-Jun-2026 RECEIPT No.: 2026-00778 ; \$330.00, Check Number: #8578, Applied to: LUKE WARD, et al. - Class A Filing Fee (\$330.00)
Paid for: - By Stone Canyon Law PLC
- 1-Jul-2026 ORDERED: Staying this appeal until 08/03/2026. FURTHER ORDERED: Revesting jurisdiction in the Maricopa County Superior Court and authorizing that court to consider the pending motion. This court does not express an opinion regarding the merits of that motion. FURTHER ORDERED: Directing appellants to file a notice in this court with a copy of the ruling within 10 days after entry. FURTHER ORDERED: If the superior court grants the motion, appellants must file a notice in this court that indicates whether appellants intend to pursue this appeal. FURTHER ORDERED: If a party wants this court to review any additional rulings, that party must file a timely notice of appeal or amended notice of appeal. FURTHER ORDERED: If the superior court has not ruled on the Rule 60(b) motion by 08/03/2026, appellants must file a notice in this court regarding the status of the motion. FURTHER ORDERED: Vacating the due dates for the case management statement and opening brief. The court will address the briefing schedule after the superior court rules on the pending motion. Melina Brill ProTem Judge - Author